International application No.

		·	PCT/A	.U2004/000789		
A.	CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATT	ER				
Int. Cl. 7:	B65G 1/08, 13/11, 17/24, 17/30, 39/02	, 39/(04, 47/02, 47/57, 47/88			
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or	to bo	th national classification and IPC			
В.	FIELDS SEARCHED					
Minimum doc	cumentation searched (classification system follow	ed by	classification symbols)			
Documentatio	n searched other than minimum documentation to	the e	stant that such documents as to built it is a			
			•	•		
Electronic data USPTO: Li	a base consulted during the international search (n	iame o	f data base and, where practicable, search terms i	15cd)		
	roller; IPC B65G/- & Keywords: roller.	rail, s	support, frame, assembly, slot, groove, a	xle, spindle and		
C.	DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEV	ANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, who	ere an	propriate of the relevant passages			
	<u>' </u>	Relevant to claim No.				
x	WO 2000/078648 A1 (THE BUSCHN Figure 1					
	rigue i			1-8, 12		
•	US 3915275 A (SPECHT) 28 October	. 1074				
X	Whole document	1-8, 12				
	US 4219114 A (KOVACS) 26 August	1000		1-0, 12		
X .	Whole document	1300		1-8, 12		
٠	·		;	, 0, 12		
F	urther documents are listed in the contim	nation	of Box C X See patent family	anney		
Special c	ategories of cited documents:		TOT BOX C [X] See parent landing	ainiea .		
A" document not consider	t defining the general state of the art which is "T dered to be of particular relevance	u	ter document published after the international filing da- onflict with the application but cited to understand the p aderlying the invention	te or priority date and not in minimize or theory		
E" earlier ap internatio	plication or patent but published on or after the "X mal filing date	. do	ocument of particular relevance; the claimed invention of cannot be considered to involve an inventive step who	cannot be considered novel		
L" document	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) "Y	" do	comment of particular relevance: the claimed invention of	ronnet he considered to		
another ci	another citation or other special reason (as specified)					
or other n	teans &		current member of the same patent family			
Dut later t	published prior to the international filing date han the priority date claimed					
ate of the actual September	al completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search repo	ert		
	g address of the ISA/AU	Authorized officer	1 7 SEP 2004			
ustralian i	PATENT OFFICE		samorace office			
maii address: n	ODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA oct@ipaustralia.gov.au		D.R. LUM			
csimile No. (0	72) 6285 3929	Telephone No : (02) 6283 2544				

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000789

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
and the second of the second o
2. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
See supplement sheet
bee supplement sneet
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-16
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.
payment of auditional scalett ices.

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000789

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

Continuation of Box No: III

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:

- 1. Claims 1-16, 17-21 are directed to a roller assembly including a roller support including two wall portions and each wall portion including slot for receiving a respective end of the roller axle. It is considered that the slot for receiving the axles comprises a first "special technical feature".
- Claims 22-26 are directed to a motion control device which is pivot ably connected to a roller support of a roller assembly and including at least one contact surface for impeding the motion of an object travelling over the rollers. It is considered that the fore-mentioned feature comprises a second special technical feature.

The feature common to all of the claims is a roller assembly with rollers. However this common feature is generic in the art. Consequently the common feature does not constitute "a special technical feature" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, since it makes no contribution over the prior art. Since there exists no other common feature which can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 between the different inventions can be seen. Consequently the claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention a posteriori.

- 3. With respect of claims 1-16 and 17-21, it is also considered these two groups of inventions do not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. The feature common to claims 1 and 17 are those defined in claim 1 and these features are disclosed in the following documents:
 - A. WO 2000/078648
 - B. US 3915275

Therefore these claims lack unity a posteriori. Note that claim 17 introduces the features of independent claim 22 which is the subject of the second group of inventions.

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000789

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member							
wo	0078648	AU	57494/00						
US	3915275	AT	509474	BE	819234	CA	1005381		
		CH	581567	DE	2343104	DK	451274		
		ES	429539	FR	2242310	GB	1462310		
		JР	50124383	NL	7408031	SE	7410738		
US	4219114	NONE	•	•	-				

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

END OF ANNEX